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#### Abstract

An algorithm is given for the calculation of the wave functions (to within $\sim 10^{-7}$ ) and the separation constants $\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$ (to within $\left.\sim 10^{-11}\right)$ of the continuum of the twocenter problem with Coulomb interaction. The phases $A_{m g}(k, R)$ of the solutions to the radial equation are found as functions of the momentum $k$ and the intercenter spacing $R$. The constructed angular $\Xi_{m g}(\eta ; k, R)$ and radial $\Pi_{m p}(\xi ; k, R)$ Coulomb spheroidal functions are a natural generalization of the Coulomb functions $F_{i}(\gamma, k r)$ of the onecenter problem.


## Introduction

The wave functions of the continuum for the two-center problem are necessary for the solution of various quantum mechanical problems: scattering of electrons on two Coulomb centers [1], photoionization of molecules [2], three-body problem with Coulomb interaction [3], etc.

The wave functions of the continuum for the two-center problem with charges $Z_{1}=Z_{2}=1$ were first found by Bates et al. [2]. The scattering on two Coulomb centers with different charges $Z_{1} \neq Z_{2}$ was considered by Shimizu [4], who also tabulated the eigenvalues of the angular equation for certain values of the parameters of the problem.

The present paper contains a description of the algorithm for the calculation of the cigenvalues of $\lambda_{m Q}(k, R)$ of the angular equation and the wave functions $\phi_{m q}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; k, R)$ of the continuum of the two-center problem. This paper is a direct continuation of the studies of [5] dealing with the calculation of the eigenvalues and the wave functions of the discrete spectrum of the two-center problem. The routine is written in Fortran-4 as applied to the computer CDC-6200.

The wave functions $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} ; R)$ of the continuum of the two-center problem are defined as solutions to the Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{r}}-\frac{Z_{1}}{r_{1}}-\frac{Z_{2}}{r_{2}}\right) \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} ; R)=E_{k} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} ; R) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the distances of a negative particle (electron) from positive charges (nuclei) $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ spaced from each other by $R$, and $E_{k}=\mathbf{k}^{2} / 2$ is the energy of the electron in a state with momentum $\mathbf{k}$.

In spheroidal coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) / R, \quad \eta=\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) / R, \quad \varphi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the solution $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} ; R)$ is represented in the form of the product of the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} ; R) & =\phi_{m q}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; k, R) \\
& =N_{m q}(k, R) \Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R) \Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R) \frac{e^{ \pm i m \varphi}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=|m|$ is an integer, and $q$ is equal to the number of zeros of the function $\Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)$ in the interval $(-1,1)$.

We call the functions $\Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)$ and $\Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)$ radial and angular Coulomb spheroidal functions (by analogy with the Coulomb functions $F_{l}(\gamma, k r)$ of the one-center problem [6]. They are defined as regular solutions of the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \xi}\left[\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{d}{d \xi} \Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)\right] \\
& \quad+\left[-\lambda_{m q}+c^{2}\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)+a \xi-\frac{m^{2}}{\xi^{2}-1}\right] \Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)=0  \tag{4a}\\
& \left|\Pi_{m q}(1 ; k, R)\right|<\infty, \quad \Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R) \xrightarrow[\xi \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0, \quad 1 \leqslant \xi<\infty \\
& \frac{d}{d \eta}\left[\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d \eta} \Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)\right] \\
& \quad+\left[\lambda_{m a}+c^{2}\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)+b \eta-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}\right] \Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)=0  \tag{4b}\\
& \quad\left|\Xi_{m q}( \pm 1 ; k, R)\right|<\infty, \quad-1 \leqslant \eta \leqslant 1
\end{align*}
$$

The notation is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=R\left(Z_{2}+Z_{1}\right), \quad b=R\left(Z_{2}-Z_{1}\right), \quad c=k R / 2=(R / 2)\left(2 E_{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization $N_{m q}(k, R)$ is determined by the condition

$$
\begin{align*}
\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}^{*}(\mathbf{r} ; R) \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} ; R) & =\int d \tau \phi_{m^{\prime} q^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; k^{\prime}, R\right) \phi_{m q}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; k, R)  \tag{5}\\
& =\delta_{m m^{\prime}} \delta_{q q^{\prime}} \delta\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d \tau=\left(R^{3} / 8\right) \int_{1}^{\infty} d \xi \int_{-1}^{1} d \eta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Eqs. (4) can be considered as a generalization of certain special functions. In particular, for $a=b=0$, Eqs. (4) turn into the ones for prolate sheroidal functions [7], for $c=b=0$, Eq. (4b) turns into the equation for the associated Legendre polynomial, and for $c \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0, a / 2 c \rightarrow$ const., Eq. (4a) turns into the equation determining the Coulomb functions [6].

In the general case $c \neq 0, a \neq 0, b \neq 0$, little is known about the solutions of Eqs. (4), and the algorithms for their numerical finding are not sufficiently well developed.

On the complex plane $z$, Eqs. (4) are identical and differ only by the domain of definition of the solutions. The solutions $u(z)$ of Eqs. (4) are analytic functions on the $z$-plane with the cut joining the singular points of the equation: two regular $(z= \pm 1)$ and one irregular $(z=\infty)$. The indices of the regular points $z= \pm 1$ are $\pm m / 2$ and the regular solutions in their vicinity behave like

$$
u(z) \sim\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{m / 2}, \quad z \rightarrow \pm 1,
$$

the asymptotic of the singular solutions for $z \rightarrow \pm 1$ is of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u(z) \sim \ln \left(1-z^{2}\right), & \text { for } \quad m=0 \\
u(z) \sim\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{-m / 2}, & \text { for } \quad m \neq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

The asymptotic behavior in the irregular point $z=\infty$ is of the form

$$
u(z) \sim(1 / z)\{ \pm i(c z-(b / 2 c) \ln 2 c z)\}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty .
$$

For $c=0, b \neq 0$, the point $z=\infty$ remains irregular:

$$
u(z) \sim z^{-3 / 4} \exp \left\{ \pm 2(-b z)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

## Calculation of the Eigenvalues $\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$

The eigenvalues $\lambda=\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$ are found from the angular solution of Eq. (4b) and depend on the discrete set of the quantum numbers $(m, q)=0,1,2, \ldots$ and the two continuous parameters $0 \leqslant k<\infty$ and $0 \leqslant R<\infty$. To calculate them,
the solution of the Sturm-Liouville problem (4b) is sought in the form of a series

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)=e^{-i c(1-n)} \sum_{s=0} d_{s}(k, R) P_{s+m}^{m}(\eta), \quad d_{-1}=0, \quad d_{0}=1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{s+m}^{m}(\eta)$ are the associated Legendre polynomials defined by the Rodrigues formula [7]. Inserting the expansion (7) in Eq. (4b) leads to three-term recurrent relations for the coefficients $d_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{s} d_{s+1}-\kappa_{s} d_{s}+\delta_{s} d_{s-1}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{s}=(s+2 m+1)[b-2 i c(s+m+1)] /[2(s+m)+3] \\
& \kappa_{s}=-\lambda+(s+m)(s+m+1)  \tag{9}\\
& \delta_{s}=s[b+2 i c(s+m)] /[2(s+m)-1]
\end{align*}
$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda=\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$ are the roots of the transcendent equation $y(\lambda)=0$, the left-hand side of which can be represented as an infinite chain fraction [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\lambda)=\kappa_{0}-\frac{\rho_{0} \delta_{1}}{\kappa_{1}}-\frac{\rho_{1} \delta_{2}}{\kappa_{2}}- \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $y(\lambda)$ is real since it depends on $\kappa_{s}$ and $\rho_{s} \delta_{s+1}$ alone.
To find the roots of the equation $y(\lambda)$, we have used the Newton-Raphson method realized in the program with double accuracy. As an initial approximation for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$, the following expansion is used.

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{m q}(k, R)= & l(l+1)-\frac{\left(l^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}+4 c^{2} l^{2}\right)}{2 l(2 l+1)(2 l-1)} \\
& +\frac{\left[(l+1)^{2}-m^{2}\right]\left[b^{2}+4 c^{2}(l+1)^{2}\right]}{2(l+1)(2 l+1)(2 l+3)} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

which follows from Eqs. (10) in the limit $R \rightarrow 0$. For other calculation details, see [5].

For the majority of physical applications, it is sufficient to know the $\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$ values within a relative accuracy $\epsilon \sim 10^{-8}-10^{-10}$. Thus, the number of the terms of the chain fraction (10) does not exceed 100 , while the time of calculation of $\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$ in the interval $R=0.025(0.025) 20$ for fixed $m, q$, and $k$ is about 15 min . Figure 1 gives the results of calculations of $\lambda_{m q}(k, R)$ for different sets of $m, q$, and $k$ in the case $Z_{1}=1$ and $Z_{2}=2$.


Fig. 1. The dependencies $\lambda_{m a}(k, R)$ on $R$ at fixed values of $k$ and different sets of quantum numbers: (1) $m=0, q=0$; (2) $m=0, q=1$; (3) $m=0, q=2$; (4) $m=1, q=1$. At $R \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda_{m 0}(k, R) \rightarrow l(l+1)$.

$$
\text { Calculation of the Functions } \Xi_{m a}(\eta ; k, R)
$$

The algorithm of calculation of the angular Coulomb spheroidal functions of the discrete spectrum of the two-center problem suggested in [5] is not efficient in the case of the continuum since at $R \gg 1$, the appropriate series representing the functions $\Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)$ converges very slowly. For example, in the expansion (7), at $c \sim 10, d_{s} \sim 500$ already for $s \sim 20$, and the coefficients $d_{s}$ change their sign and increase rapidly in absolute value. Therefore, it is better to find the functions $\Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)$ by a direct integration of Eq. (4b) using, near the singular points $\eta= \pm 1$, suitable expansions. For example, near $\eta \approx-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)=\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)^{m / 2} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{1}} \bar{d}_{s}(1+\eta)^{s} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $\bar{d}_{s}$ are found from the four-term recurrent relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{s} \bar{d}_{s+1}+q_{s} \bar{d}_{s}+r_{s} \bar{d}_{s-1}+t_{s} \bar{d}_{s-2}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this case, $d_{-2}=d_{-1}=0, d_{0}=1$.
The following notation is introduced.

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{s} & =2(s+1)(s+m+1) \\
q_{s} & =-[-\lambda+b+m(m+1)+s(s+2 m+1)] \\
r_{s} & =2 c^{2}+b  \tag{14}\\
t_{s} & =-c^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

To ensure a relative accuracy of calculation of the functions $\in \sim 10^{-7}$, it is sufficient to take $s_{1}=4,1+\eta=10^{-6}$ and the integration step $\Delta \eta=2^{-12}$. The normalization of the solutions naturally can be determined by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \Xi_{m^{\prime} q^{\prime}}(\eta ; k, R) \Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R) d \eta=\delta_{\psi q^{\prime}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$










Fig. 2(a, b, c). The angular Coulomb spheroidal functions $\Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)$ normalized by (15) at fixed values $k, R, m, q ; Z_{1}=1, Z_{2}=2$.

When $R \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (4b) turns to the equation for the associated Legendre polynomials $P_{m+q}(\eta)$. Thus, the following relation $(l=m+q)$ takes place.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{m a}(\eta ; k, 0)=\left[\frac{2 l+1}{2} \cdot \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{l^{m}(\eta)}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the sign of the functions $\Xi_{m a}(\eta ; k, R)$ is determined. Figs. 2a-2c give the functions $\Xi_{m a}(\eta ; k, R)$ normalized by condition (15) for different $k$ and $R$ and for different sets of quantum numbers $m$ and $q$.


Fig. 3. The functions $\lambda_{m a}(0, b)$ at different sets of quantum numbers $m$ and $q$.


Fig. 4. Eigenvalues of $\lambda_{m a}(c, b)$ as a function of the parameter $c$ at fixed values $b, m$, and $q$.

The Sturm-Liouville problem can be considered independently of the initial three-dimensional problem. In this case, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{m q}(k, R)=\lambda_{m q}(c, b)$ are functions of the parameters $c$ and $b$. For $b=0$, Eq. (4b) turns to the equation for prolate spheroidal functions [7] and its solutions coincide with the spheroidal functions $S_{m i}(c, \eta)$.

In the inverse extreme case, $c \rightarrow 0, b \neq 0$, the solutions of Eq. (4b) are used to express the wave functions of the electron in the finite dipole field [8]. Figure 3 gives the $\lambda_{m q}(c, b)$ values for $c=0$ as functions of the parameter $b$. Figure 4 also gives the $\lambda_{m a}(c, b)$ values as functions of the parameter $c$ for different $b$ and different sets of the quantum numbers $m$ and $q$.

## Calculation of the Functions $\Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)$

Two linearly independent solutions of Eq. (4a) can be presented as follows ( $t=\xi-1$ ).

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{m q}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\xi ; k, R) & =\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)^{m / 2} F(t)  \tag{17a}\\
\Pi_{m q}^{\mathrm{irreg}}(\xi ; k, R) & =\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)^{m / 2} G(t) \tag{17b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(t) \equiv F(t, 0)$. The function $F(t, m)$ obeys the equation
$t(t+2) F^{\prime \prime}+2(m+1)(t+1) F^{\prime}+\left[c^{2} t^{2}+\left(2 c^{2}+a\right) t+a+m(m+1)-\lambda\right] F=0$,
and for $t<1$, it is defined by the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, \nu)=\sum_{s=0}^{s_{2}} g_{s}(\nu) t^{s+\nu} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

the coefficients of which satisfy the four-term recurrent relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \bar{p}_{s} g_{s+1}+\bar{q}_{s} g_{s}+\bar{r}_{s} g_{s-1}+\bar{t}_{s} g_{s-2}=0  \tag{20}\\
& \quad g_{-2}=g_{-1}=0, \quad g_{0}=1  \tag{20a}\\
& \bar{p}_{s}=2(\nu+s+1)(\nu+s+m+1) \\
& \bar{q}_{s}=(\nu+s)(\nu+s+2 m+1)-\lambda+a+m(m+1)  \tag{21}\\
& \bar{r}_{s}=2 c^{2}+a \\
& \bar{t}_{s}=c^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The nonregular solution is determined by the function $F(t, \nu)$ with the aid of the formulas: For $m \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\left.(\partial / \partial \nu)[(\nu+m) F(t, \nu)]\right|_{\nu=-m} \tag{22a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=F(t) \ln t+\left.(\partial / \partial \nu) F(t, \nu)\right|_{\nu=0} \tag{22b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivatives $\partial F(t, v) / \partial v$ are calculated with the account of Eqs. (19)-(21) as well as the conditions $\partial g_{-2} / \partial \nu=\partial g_{-1} / \partial \nu=\partial g_{0} / \partial \nu=0$.

Using the representation (22) and the expansion (19) at the singular point $t=0$, both solutions (17) of Eq. (4a) are found by means of direct integration. To ensure a relative accuracy of the calculation of the functions, $\epsilon \sim 10^{-7}$, it is sufficient to choose $s_{2}=4$ and $t=10^{-6}$, and the step of integration $\Delta \xi=0.002 / c$ and $\Delta \xi=0.02 / c$. In integrating Eq. (4a), the Numerov method was used [10] which is more effective than the Runge-Kutta method. The sign of the functions $\Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)$ is determined from the requirement for them to be positive at $\xi \rightarrow 1$.

The normalization is fixed by the asymptotic behavior of the solution at $c \xi \geqslant 1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)= & A \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[1+\sum_{s=1}^{s j} g_{s}(c \xi)^{-s}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \exp \left[-i\left(c \xi+\frac{a}{2 c} \ln 2 c \xi-\frac{l+1}{2} \pi+\Delta_{m a}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\Delta_{m q}=\Delta_{m q}(k, R)$ is the phase of the radial Coulomb spheroidal function that is analogous to the Coulomb phasc $\sigma_{l}=\arg \Gamma(l+1-i \gamma), \gamma=Z / k$ in the scattering on the Coulomb attraction potential $-Z / k$. The following limiting relations take place.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{m q}(k, 0)=\sigma_{l}=\arg \Gamma(l+1-i \gamma), \quad \gamma=a / 2 c, \quad l=m+q \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $g_{s}$ of the expansion (23) satisfy the six-term recurrent relation ( $\gamma=a / 2 c$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
i 2(s & +1) g_{s+1}+\left[s(s+1)-\lambda-\gamma^{2}+i(2 s+1) \gamma\right] g_{s} \\
& +2 c^{2}[\gamma-i 2(s-1)] g_{s-1} \\
& +c^{2}\left[-2(s-1)(s-2)+\lambda+1-m^{2}+2 \gamma^{2}-i 2(2 s-3) \gamma\right] g_{s-2} \\
& +2 c^{4}[-\gamma+i(s-3)] g_{s-3} \\
& +c^{4}\left[(s-3)(s-4)-\gamma^{2}+i(2 s-7) \gamma\right] g_{s-4}=0 . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The normalization $A$ and the phase $\Delta_{m q}$ are found from the condition of sewing the asymptotics of the solution (23) and its derivative at a point $\xi^{*} \gg 1$ with the solution $\Pi_{m a}(\xi ; k, R)$ and its derivative $(\partial / \partial \xi) \Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)$ found in the numerical integration of Eq. (4a). In order to ensure a relative accuracy $\epsilon \sim 10^{-7}$, when calculating $A$ and $\Delta_{m q}$, it is sufficient to put $s_{3} \approx 10$ for

$$
c \xi^{*}=50 \cdot\left|\lambda+\gamma^{2}\right| .
$$

Within the limit $R \rightarrow 0$, the two-center problem turns to a one-center problem with charge $Z=Z_{1}+Z_{2}$, and Eq. (4a), after a scale transformation $r=R \xi / 2$, turns to an equation defining the Coulomb functions $F_{l}(\gamma, k r)$ and $G_{l}(\gamma, k r)$ [6]. In this case, the wave function $\phi_{m q}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; k, R)$, normalized by the condition (5), transforms to a normalized solution $\psi_{k l m}(\mathbf{r})$ of the one-center problem [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k l m}(\mathbf{r})=(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2}(1 / r) F_{l}(\gamma, k r) Y_{l m}(\theta, \varphi), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R \xi / 2 \rightarrow r, \eta \rightarrow \cos \theta$ and $Y_{l m}(\theta, \varphi)$ is the Legendre spherical function.
By comparing the asymptotic of the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{l}(\gamma, k r) \sim \sin \left[k r+\gamma \ln 2 k r-(l \pi / 2)+\sigma_{l}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the expansion (23), we find that $A=1$. For such a choice of $A$, the normalization coefficient $N_{m q}(k, R)$ of the solution (3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{m q}=(2 / R)(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result follows immediately from the comparison of expressions (3) and (26), taking into account relations (15), (16), (23), and (27).

Another derivation of the expression for $N_{m q}$ is given in the paper by Bates et al. [2]. Figures 5a-5c give the regular solution $\Pi_{m q}(\xi ; k, R)$ normalized by the


Fig. 5(a, b, c). The radial Coulomb spheroidal functions $\Pi_{m \alpha}(\xi ; k, R)$ normalized by the asymptotic condition $I_{m q}(\xi ; k, R) \rightarrow(1 / \xi) \sin \left(c \xi+(a / 2 c) \ln 2 c \xi-(l \pi / 2)+\Delta_{m q}\right)$ at fixed values $k, R$ and different sets $m$ and $q ; Z_{1}=1, Z_{2}=2$.


Fig. 6. The phases of solutions of the radial equation $\Delta_{m q}=\Delta_{m q}(k, R)$ as a function of $R$ at different values of $k$. When $R \rightarrow 0 \Delta_{m q}$ coincides with the Coulomb phase of one-center problem with the charge $Z=Z_{1}+Z_{2}: \Delta_{m q}(k, 0)=\arg \Gamma\left(l+1-\left(Z_{1}+Z_{2}\right) / k\right)$.
condition $A=-1$ for different $k$ and $R$ and different sets of $m$ and $q$. Figure 6 also gives the phases $A_{m q}(k, R)$ as functions of the intercenter spacing $R$ for different $k-, q$-, and $m$-values.

The cross sections for scattering of electrons on two Coulomb centers [1] are expressed in terms of these phases.

## Checking of the Accuracy of Calculations

For an additional verification of the accuracy of calculations, the eigenvalues of $\lambda_{m q}(c, b)$ were compared with the analytic expressions $\lambda_{m q}(0, b)$ that are known for definite values (e.g., $\lambda_{00}(0, b=1.27863)=\frac{1}{4}$.

The criterion of the accuracy of calculation of the raditional functions was the condition of constancy of the Wronskian

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\bar{\Pi}_{m q}\left(\partial \bar{G}_{m q} \partial \xi\right)-\bar{G}_{m q}\left(\partial \bar{I}_{m q} / \partial \xi\right)=\text { const. } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi_{m q}$ and $\bar{G}_{m q}$ are defined by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{m q} & =\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \Pi_{m q}^{\mathrm{req}}(\xi ; k, R)  \tag{30}\\
\bar{G}_{m q} & =\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \Pi_{m q}^{\mathrm{rrre}}(\xi ; k, R) .
\end{align*}
$$

Condition (29) was satisfied in the calculations with a relative accuracy $\epsilon \lesssim 10^{-7}$. To verify the accuracy of calculations the number of the terms of the sums $s_{n}$ in expansions (12), (19), and (23), and the quantity $c \xi^{*}$ were varied. The values of these quantities given in this paper ensure the relative accuracy of calculation of the wave functions $\epsilon \sim 10^{-7}$.

The accuracy of calculation of the angular functions $E_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)$ at $k R \leqq 5$ was verified by comparing the values obtained as a result of integration of Eq. (4b) with the values given by the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{m q}(\eta ; k, R)=\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)^{m / 2} e^{i c(1 \pm n)} \sum_{s} c_{s}(1 \pm \eta)^{s} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

While for $k R>5$ near $\eta \approx 1$, the logarithmic derivatives obtained by the integration of Eq. (4b) using the expansion (12) and those that follow from the expansion (12) after the replacement $\eta \rightarrow-\eta$ were compared.

For a global checking of the solutions constructed, the orthogonality condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d \tau \phi_{m o}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; k, R) \Psi_{N l m}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; R)=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used, where $\Psi_{N l m}(\xi, \eta, \varphi ; R)$ is the wave function of the discrete spectrum of the two-center problem calculated earlier in [5]. The condition (32) was fulfilled in our calculations within an accuracy $\epsilon \sim 10^{-7}$.

Note Added in Proof. Reference [12], which contains similar results, appeared while this paper was in press.
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